

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE                     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/576,536         | 04/19/2006                      | Juha Karttunen       | 879A.0064.U1(US)    | 3744            |
| 29683<br>HARRINGTO | 7590 06/25/200<br>N & SMITH, PC | EXAMINER             |                     |                 |
| 4 RESEARCH         | DRIVE, Suite 202                | FANG, PAKEE          |                     |                 |
| SHELTON, C         | 1 06484-6212                    |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
|                    |                                 |                      | 2629                |                 |
|                    |                                 |                      |                     |                 |
|                    |                                 |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE   |
|                    |                                 |                      | 06/25/2009          | PAPER           |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)    |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/576,536      | KARTTUNEN, JUHA |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit        |  |  |
| PAKEE FANG      | 2629            |  |  |

|   | PAKEE FANG  | 2629  |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the o  | orrespondence add   | ress                                      |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must they file one of the following<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of<br/>periods:</li> </ol>  | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance   | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>, or other evidence, v<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; o | which places the r (3) a Request          |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing   | date of the final rejection.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: (1b ox 15 schecked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office are may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.  | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>tension and the corresponding amount of<br>shortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropri-<br>nally set in the final Office              | ate extension fee<br>te action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp  | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t  | iled within two month   | s of the date of                          |  |  |  |  |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the  | e appeal. Since a                         |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) flide after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or  |   | lucing or simplifying t   | he issues for                             |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a</li></ul>  | corresponding number of finally reje  | cted claims.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1   | 16 and 41.33(a)).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> </ol>   |   | mpliant Amendment (   | PTOL-324).                                |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pror The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:   |   | be entered and an e   | xplanation of                             |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19.</u>  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear<br>and was not earlier presented. Se   | l and/or appellant fail<br>e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1                          | s to provide a<br>).                      |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>  | n of the status of the claims after er  | itry is below or attach   | ed.                                       |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | t does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan   | ce because:                               |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. □ Other:   |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| /Chanh Nguyen/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629  | /PAKEE FANG/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 2629   |   |   |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation "only" to claims 1, 9, 18-19 requires further consideration and/or search since it was not presented before.